

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,394	09/13/2000	Yasuhiro Komori	862.C2001	8092
5514 7	590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			NOLAN, DANIEL A	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	18
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	.,				
Advisory Action	09/661,394	KOMORI ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel A. Nolan	2654			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extensioning originally set in the final Office action; or	ion		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	Э		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	t		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8,10,12,14,18,19,21,23,25,26</u>	5,28,30,32,34,35,37 and 39.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)  disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen					
10. ☑ Other: <u>USPTO form 892 - Notice of References Cited</u>		1/1			
San San San As I San San San Assas San Marie San		EMOND DORVIL RY PATENT EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 2 lines 5-10, filed 30 April 2004, with respect to claims 4 and 23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection to claim 4 and the 35 USC § 112 rejection of claim 23 would be withdrawn.
- 2. The argument that Suzuki does not disclose networks is contraindicated with the disclosure that "it is acceptable to use a tape recorder as an input apparatus or to input speech data from a network" (column 7 lines 54-55).
- 3. See the included prior art of Goldberg with reference to column 3 lines 17-64 (with particular attention to lines 54-62).